_	Page 85
1	Q. Did everyone else on in your group have a
2	CCNA?
3	A. Chris did, if I'm not mistaken.
4	Other than that, not that I was aware of.
5	Q. Was there any opportunity when you were in
6	this group, that you were dealing with some of the
7	engineers in global engineering?
8	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
9	THE WITNESS: Strictly from a
10	connectivity standpoint, I mean, what cabling
11	they needed.
12	So they would engage us to, like, gauge
13	how many cables they needed, port capacity, make
14	sure all the cabling infrastructure excuse me
15	make sure all the cabling infrastructure was
16	in place for work, whatever it was.
17	From a cabling perspective, we pretty
18	much supported the entire building, right. So we
19	would offer support from that perspective.
20	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
21	Q. What others in your group in 2003 had your
22	knowledge of networking?
23	A. As it pertained to our job? Everybody.
24	Q. No. I'm asking your level of networking.

	Page 86
1	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
2	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't be able to
3	tell you what knowledge they had, and what
4	knowledge they didn't have, right, like that. I
5	wasn't in their brain.
6	So Chris was as far as like
7	certifications were concerned, Chris DiPinto was
8	on the same track.
9	But as far as our job was concerned,
10	right, pretty much everybody there had the same
11	level of knowledge.
12	Like the CCNA certification was not
13	relevant, right, to my job responsibilities,
14	right, as a telecom analyst.
15	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
16	Q. Well, according to what you're saying now.
17	But at least according to Tom in your 2003
18	review, your knowledge of networking did have an impact
19	on your review.
20	MS. WALSH: Is that a question or a
21	comment?
22	THE WITNESS: It's not
23	MS. BOUCHARD: It's a comment.
24	MS. WALSH: Right. You're not

1	Page 87 asking him a question.
2	You're making a comment on the record?
3	MS. BOUCHARD: Well, he's stating
4	that
5	MS. WALSH: Are you arguing with
6	the witness?
7	I mean, ask him questions. I don't want
8	you arguing with the witness. You're challenging
9	his testimony and you're not
10	MS. BOUCHARD: Are you finished?
11	MS. WALSH: asking a question.
12	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
13	Q. You're saying that your certifications
14	weren't relevant to your position.
15	Is that what you're saying?
16	MS. WALSH: I think his testimony
17	was that you don't need the certification to be
18	able to do the job.
19	MS. BOUCHARD: Don't testify for
20	him.
21	MS. WALSH: You're
22	mischaracterizing his testimony.
23	Do we want to have it read back?
24	BY MS. BOUCHARD:

Page	88
------	----

- 1 Q. What is your testimony with respect to your
- 2 CCNA --
- 3 A. You didn't need a CCNA in order to perform
- 4 the network support telecom analyst job we were doing,
- 5 essentially.
- It wasn't relevant to the -- the scope of the
- 7 curriculum, right, wasn't necessarily relevant to the
- 8 job duties performed, right.
- 9 Q. Did you understand that Tom felt that it was
- 10 helpful though?
- 11 A. Essentially, all you need is three commands
- 12 to troubleshoot a network connection. So, yeah, he
- 13 thought it was helpful.
- Maybe he didn't know a command. That's fine.
- 15 I taught it to him.
- 16 Q. Did you create any training guides or manuals
- 17 like the lab control manual?
- 18 A. At this time in Citigroup, they were going
- 19 through an audit procedure. And pretty much what we
- 20 had to do for our day-to-day activities, right, from a
- 21 network support perspective, needed to be put on paper,
- 22 right.
- 23 Q. That's in 2003, you're talking about?
- 24 A. It actually lasted for a while in Citigroup.

		Page 89
1	It starte	d while I was with the network integration
2	group. A	nd it continued while I was with network
3	engineeri	ng.
4		I mean, you don't realize how much how
5	the level	of detail, right, that they were you don't
6	realize -	- okay.
7		Since we were being audited, right, they want
8	to look a	t every step of everything that we did. So a
9	ticket ca	me in, you know, how was it routed, who dealt
10	with it,	so on and so forth, right.
11		So what they wanted from us was best
12	practices	on how we performed our support operations,
13	right.	
14		And when you put that on paper, you know,
15	essential	ly that was what I was asked to do.
16	Q.	You're talking about 2003?
17	Α.	I did it while I was in Tommy's group, yeah.
18		I helped maintain the PCM too.
19	Q.	The Process and Control Manual?
20	Α.	Yeah.
21	Q.	So you helped maintain the Process and
22	Control M	anual when you worked for Tom's group?
23	Α.	Yes.
24	Q.	What was involved with maintaining that

1	Page manual?	90
2	A. Pretty much mapping the different systems	
3	that we used. Like, for instance, we used several	
4	different trouble ticketing systems while I was at	
5	Citigroup, right.	
6	So initially, I think it was like GPMS. So	
7	then there was GPMS, then there was I forgot what	
8	the last trouble ticketing system, but there was	
9	another trouble ticketing system.	
10	Every time they changed the trouble ticketing	
11	system, we would go in and pretty much review the	
12	manual.	
13	It was like a training manual. So you would	
14	go in and review the manual and, like, step people	
15	through the procedure that you would use to answer	
16	like, to respond to a ticket, right.	
17	Q. So modifying the procedures as the procedures	
18	changed, you would input that into the manual?	
19	A. We were trained in the systems.	
20	After we were trained in the systems, they	
21	needed to be included in the PCM.	
22	Q. What about when you were in global	
23	engineering?	
24	Did you have responsibility for creating the	

-1		**		_
1	manua	(e	the	~~ `
	marrad			:

- 2 A. It was forced on me, yeah.
- Q. Can you describe what those responsibilities
- 4 were?
- 5 A. They had a framework -- being that I was
- 6 familiar with the whole PCM thing because I was helping
- 7 maintain it for Tommy's group as far as our procedures
- 8 are concerned, they had a whole framework of things
- 9 that needed to be answered.
- 10 So they asked me to pretty much put what I
- 11 did to support the lab on paper, in regards to like
- 12 receiving equipment, inventorying equipment, right,
- installing equipment, getting equipment on the network,
- 14 so on and so forth, because there was a whole set of
- 15 compliance issues that needed to be met.
- So they asked me to put my procedures on
- 17 paper in light of, you know, what needed to be achieved
- 18 and just to make sure -- and possibly change the way we
- 19 did things, you know, if for some reason it didn't add
- 20 to any of the -- of like the audit framework that they
- 21 had going on.
- 22 Q. Is the correct name a lab user quide?
- 23 A. No. It was more of like -- like a training
- 24 manual for people that were going to be performing the

	Page 9
1	job, right, as far as like what they needed the
2	minimum amount of things they needed to do in light of
3	our audit requirements, right.
4	It was an audit tool, really.
5	Q. So if the consultant had been hired full
6	time, that would have been a tool that they could have
7	used?
8	A. It would have helped them, but it was more
9	audit centric than anything.
10	I mean, the actual like the job steps
11	weren't necessarily completely included in there.
12	It would have helped, but it would have given
13	them an understanding of what they needed to know as
14	far as like audit concerns.
15	I don't think that they took it in context.
16	It would have helped. A little bit of both.
17	Q. So it was a manual for training in auditing?
18	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
19	Go ahead.
20	THE WITNESS: You can't necessarily
21	say that. Like they weren't too sure about where
22	they wanted to take it, right. And I really
23	wasn't too concerned.

Some people like, for instance, Tommy

24

		Page 93
1	tried	d to maintain his manual from a standpoint
2	where	e it was a run book for newly hired
3	emplo	oyees, right.
4		And he would meticulously document all
5	the p	procedures, right, that we did on a
6	day-t	co-day basis within his PCM, right.
7	BY MS. BOUC	CHARD:
8	Q. S	So that's what Tommy wanted you to put down
9	in the PCM?	,
10	А. Н	He pretty much told me like after we if a
11	new system	came in, he would tell me what he wanted,
12	what proced	dure he wanted me to do screen captures for
13	and put int	to the PCM as far as like answering a ticket
14	was concern	ied.
15	Q. N	low, going back to when you worked for Tom,
16	where did h	e sit in relation to you?
17	A. I	nitially, he sat down a couple of desks away
18	from us. A	and then eventually he moved down to
19	125 Broad S	treet.
20	Q. W	Then did he move to a different building?
21	Α. Ι	don't recall.
22	Q. Y	ou don't remember?
23	Α. Ι	don't recall.
24	Q. H	ow would you communicate with him, by

Page 94

- 1 e-mail?
- 2 A. I mean, when he moved, we no longer needed to
- 3 deal with him directly because someone else was
- 4 assigned as team lead, right.
- 5 Actually, I wasn't even with him when he
- 6 moved. I had already moved to -- while I was there, he
- 7 was -- he used to sit near us.
- 8 He actually ended up moving like subsequent
- 9 to me being in global engineering.
- 10 Q. So if you wanted to talk to him or he wanted
- 11 to talk to you, would you just go to each other's
- 12 desks?
- 13 A. For the most part.
- 14 Q. When you were in the analyst position, would
- you say you worked more or less than the other
- 16 analysts?
- 17 A. About the same. I mean, pretty much we were
- 18 responsible for different areas of the building. So if
- 19 a move was taking part in the area of the building or
- 20 if somebody was getting hit with, you know, an
- 21 excessive workload, we would pretty much try to even it
- 22 out between the guys.
- 23 Q. When you talk about a move taking place in
- 24 the building, is there terminology for a move?

Page 95

- Is that called "a restack," or is that
- 2 something else?
- 3 A. The restack was a series of moves that took
- 4 place.
- 5 Q. So a restack is when you're moving a series
- 6 of computers to a different network?
- 7
 I don't want to misstate it.
- 8 A. All right. What the restacks -- I mean, the
- 9 familiarity I have with the term, right, and the
- 10 restacks I can recall, was that Citigroup was trying to
- 11 expand the amount of people and the amount of network
- 12 connections that they could have on a particular set of
- 13 floors, right.
- So they had 200 desks on this floor. Now,
- 15 they wanted to have 250 desks on this floor.
- That means that they needed to change all the
- 17 furniture, right. And after they changed all the
- 18 furniture and created these 250 desks, they needed to
- 19 run connections, right, network cable connections into
- 20 the closet, right.
- And then when they were done, the computers
- 22 would be deployed, right. And after the computers were
- 23 deployed, we would come through and take care of the
- 24 network cabling because now it was 250 connections as

	D 05
1	Page 96 opposed to the 200 connections that were existing.
2	Cable are brought into different places. We
3	would document what cables, what place, right. So in
4	the future, we can turn around and support these
5	network connections, right.
6	Q. In that restack, would there be a move to a
7	different network, or would they stay on the same
8	network?
9	A. It wasn't my concern. I mean, my concern was
10	connectivity, right. So the equipment was set up by
11	other people. Port capacity was accounted for by other
12	people. Everything was accounted for by other people.
13	We just needed to make sure we had enough cables.
14	And then when you went to every desk and you
15	tested out. We had a what's called a fluke, right,
16	which pretty much tested for network connectivity, if
17	the network connection came up.
18	Q. Why weren't electricians simply doing that?
19	A. Again, because
20	MS. WALSH: I'm going to object.
21	It's asked and answered. But go ahead.
22	THE WITNESS: For the same reason
23	as before, right.
24	When you have a computer network in the

	Page 97
1	building, right, the cabling, right, you run the
2	potential you don't want a lot of people doing
3	the cabling, right. You want one central group
4	dealing with it.
5	This way they can document where
6	everything is connected.
7	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
8	Q. So when
9	A. You can keep track of everything that's
10	connected.
11	Sometimes, you know, you might have like
12	you're going to have a cable at the users desk, right.
13	And then you're going to have a cable at the closet.
14	In other instances, you know, you're cabling
15	equipment in between floors, right. In other
16	instances, you might be cabling equipment between more
17	than one floor, right.
18	So having electricians dealing with all these
19	connections, right, ran the potential for them to
20	disconnect something. And you have no clue where it
21	was that they disconnected it, right. Because
22	essentially, if I'm not mistaken, at 388 Greenwich
23	Street, all of the connections went back to the 12th
24	floor.

1	Page 98 Q. So were you guys subject matter experts for
2	the cabling aspect?
3	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form
4	of the question.
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	There was subject matter experts in the
7	engineering departments.
8	We were support personnel. We were given
9	I mean, there was procedure control manuals
10	for everything we did. So we weren't necessarily
11	subject matter experts.
12	I mean, I wouldn't even categorize as
13	there was people that were subject matter we
14	were support personnel for the network
15	connections.
16	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
17	off the record.)
18	(Whereupon, a recess was taken for
19	lunch.)
20	(Whereupon, Exhibit Millan-6 was
21	marked for identification.)
22	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
23	Q. Mr. Millan, what has been placed as Exhibit 6
24	is a 2005 Midyear Performance Plan Summary.

1	Page Could you take time to review this, and then	99
2	we'll ask you some questions about it.	
3	A. Sure.	
4	Q. Have you taken the time to review this?	
5	A. Sure.	
6	Q. In the section titled, "Overall Performance	
7	Summary," is that an accurate reflection of your	
8	performance from January 1, 2005, to May 31, 2005?	
9	A. To a certain extent, yeah.	
10	I mean, the product development efforts I	
11	was supporting them by installing equipment, right.	
12	And the compliance related duties really was	
13	stuff that was given to me because I was in a position	
14	to provide like inventories, stuff of that nature,	
15	putting procedures there for completing lab work,	
16	right, on paper, so on and so forth.	
17	Q. So when you talk about the compliance-related	L
18	activities, you're talking about the manual being one?	
19	A. Yeah.	
20	Q. Then you were in charge of inventory; is that	;
21	correct?	
22	A. Yeah.	
23	Q. Why did you need to create an inventory	
24	tracking system?	

Pa	q	e	1	0	0

- 1 A. We were never able to put in an inventory
- 2 tracking system.
- I mean, essentially, what we ended up doing
- 4 was we had a connectivity database which pretty much we
- 5 documented all of the devices that came into the lab
- 6 and needed to be installed in this connectivity
- 7 database. So that's preliminary what we managed.
- 8 And we ended up using that as a basis to
- 9 compile these insurance questionnaires, so on and so
- 10 forth. Because the assumption was that anything that
- 11 came into the lab would eventually be connected and
- 12 documented and so forth.
- So that's why they had to do that there.
- 14 Q. So I just want to clarify.
- The inventory was used as a basis to complete
- 16 an insurance questionnaire?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Did you complete the insurance questionnaire?
- 19 A. For 388 Greenwich, yeah, because it was --
- 20 essentially, what we submitted was our connectivity
- 21 database.
- 22 Q. So for 388 Greenwich, were you doing the
- 23 inventory for that area as well?
- MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.

	Page 101
1	Go ahead.
2	THE WITNESS: In '05, I mean,
3	depending on where we were.
4	If we were already in Warren, then it was
5	for the Warren facility. I did it for the local
6	facility where we were at.
7	When we were in 388, I did it for 388.
8	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
9	Q. Okay. Understood.
10	Then how much in terms of dollars was tracked
11	on the inventory?
12	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
13	THE WITNESS: For again, I don't
14	remember exact figures. I don't it was in the
15	millions, really.
16	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
17	Q. In the tens of millions?
18	A. Possibly.
19	Q. Would it have been in the hundreds of
20	millions?
21	A. No. Tens.
22	Q. So somewhere between ten and a hundred
23	million?
24	A. Ten, twenty.

			Page 102
1	Q.	Ten, twenty million?	
2	Α.	Max. I think it was around 10 million	•
3	Q.	How often would the insurance question	naire
4	need to l	ce filled out?	
5	Α.	Annually.	
6	Q.	Was the insurance questionnaire to und	erstand
7	what they	were insuring?	
8	Α.	From my understanding, after the whole	World
9	Trade Cer	nter thing, right, Seven World Trade, Ci	tigroup
10	was in th	nere, they lost X amount of dollars. So	it was
11	for a sir	milar type scenario, right.	
12		If you were to lose a complete site, w	hat
13	would it	cost you to replace the equipment you h	ad in
14	there.		
15	Q.	So that's why you needed a count by co	unt, to
16	know exac	ctly how many pieces of what you had at	any
17	given tim	ne?	
18	Α.	Um-hum.	
19	Q.	I know that's a real basic way of putt	ing it,
20	but		
21	Α.	Essentially, that's what it was. I me	an, we
22	would red	ceive evaluation equipment from differen	t
23	companies	s and stuff. So essentially since we di	dn't
24	own the a	assets, right, it wasn't necessarily cap	tured

- 1 anywhere.
- 2 So global engineering itself needed to keep
- 3 track of the assets. And I needed to keep track of
- 4 what's installed and then get them on the network track
- 5 and return them, so on and so forth, right.
- 6 Q. Were they leased assets?
- 7 A. A combination. I mean, really I wouldn't be
- 8 able to tell you because I don't know the equipment,
- 9 but I wouldn't even arrange the agreements for the
- 10 equipment.
- I mean, I received the equipment. The
- 12 engineer was responsible for submitting a request. Let
- 13 us know when the equipment was coming, right.
- We would receive it, track it towards their
- 15 request, complete the request and notify them when the
- 16 request was finished.
- 17 Q. You said that the company didn't own the
- 18 equipment though.
- 19 A. I couldn't necessarily tell you. I mean, in
- 20 certain instances -- I wasn't privy to that knowledge.
- Q. Oh, I thought you said that they didn't own
- 22 it.
- 23 A. No. Certain things in the lab were owned,
- 24 certain things like -- that's part of the purpose of

Page 104

- 1 the annual insurance questionnaire, right, because
- 2 there was no clear procedure -- effective procedure in
- 3 place.
- 4 So for certain equipment you had to determine
- 5 whether CTI owned it versus whether it was leased out
- 6 from the vendor and needed to be returned at some
- 7 point?
- 8 Α. It was up to the person who was asking for
- 9 the equipment to be installed into the lab, right, to
- 10 notify us what needed to be done with it whether it was
- 11 owned, whether it was leased, whether, you know,
- whatever the case might have been, whether they 12
- 13 borrowed it from somebody else somewhere else in the
- company, you know. 14
- Like there was different scenarios. 15
- 16 But you kept track of all of that Ο.
- 17 information?
- 18 We tried to keep track of it. We couldn't do
- 19 it effectively with the staffing we had.
- 20 But you tried the best you could to keep Ο.
- 21 track of that?
- 22 Α. Correct.
- 23 Q. If you could turn to the first page --
- 24 Α. To the first page?

Ţ-	Page 105 MS. WALSH: Of Exhibit 6.
2	MS. BOUCHARD: Of Exhibit 6, yes.
3	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
4	Q. Do you see where in the primary areas for
5	improvement it says, "Task Prioritization"?
6	A. Yeah.
7	Q. Do you see where it says: "Improve on 'quick
8	wins.' When faced with multiple tasks of similar
9	priority, try to accomplish tasks that do not require
10	much effort first, utilize SLAs to assist in task
11	prioritization"?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. What are SLAs?
14	A. SLAs are service level agreements.
15	Q. What is meant by, "utilize SLAs to assist in
16	task prioritization"?
17	A. Okay.
18	MS. WALSH: If you know.
19	THE WITNESS: Yeah, I mean,
20	essentially, it was something we were trying to
21	get well, Paul was trying it get accomplished,
22	and I didn't particularly think was feasible.
23	He wanted to give people a date from the
24	time we received the piece the volume of

	Page 106
1	requests that we had was so overwhelming, right,
2	to install equipment, that we actually and we
3	weren't using any systems to track it.
4	Because like I mentioned before, global
5	engineering had absorbed the support function for
6	their own labs and did not want to use the
7	typical avenues that were available to the rest
8	of the company, right, the typical tools that
9	were available to the rest of the company.
10	So the usual tools that we used on the
11	regular network support side of the company,
12	right, would give you SLAs, would be able to
13	gauge the amount of employees you needed to
14	complete these requests, so on and so forth,
15	right.
16	Since we didn't have a system to track
17	the amount of requests we were receiving, it was
18	more or less a paper trail, right.
19	And we only had two employees again doing
20	the work that would typically take four people to
21	do, right, in your average data center.
22	So Paul wanted us to gauge like the time
23	it was going to take us to install. It was
24	impossible to do.
ı	

1	Page 107 It was something we constantly struggled
2	with.
3	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
4	Q. How did you determine when you had so many
5	tasks to do, which ones you would make first priority?
6	MS. WALSH: Objection. Foundation.
7	THE WITNESS: Basically, the order
8	they came in.
9	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
10	Q. So you say the order they came in, but then
11	how would you decide when to work on the integration of
12	the Warren lab, for example?
13	I'm just wondering given the bulk of what you
14	had to do
15	A. Taking direction from Paul and Amedeo.
16	Essentially, they set up weekly meetings
17	where they would meet with the various team leads
18	within the engineering disciplines. And they would
19	prioritize pending requests versus requests that needed
20	to be worked on.
21	When we were at 388 Greenwich Street, we were
22	processing them in the order that they came in. And as
23	far as like, I mean, the Warren migration stuff was
24	concerned, there was a project manager dictating what

1	Page 108 information he needed, and when he needed it, for the
2	most part.
3	Then the project managers dropped off the
4	project.
5	Q. When did the project managers drop off the
6	project?
7	A. Towards the end there was a lapse in time.
8	And then they hired another company to come in and
9	finish up.
10	They had a consultant to come in and finish
11	up.
12	Q. What happened during that period of time
13	where there was a gap?
14	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form
15	of the question.
16	THE WITNESS: The moves were
17	delayed. They pushed back the dates on the moves
18	like countless times.
19	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
20	Q. If you could turn to the second page of this
21	document, did you understand that those were your
22	year-end goals?
23	A. Yeah.
24	(Whereupon, a discussion was held

1	off the record.)
2	(Whereupon, Exhibit Millan-7 was
3	marked for identification.)
4	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
5	Q. What's been marked as Exhibit 7 is a 2005
6	year-end Performance Review.
7	Why don't you take some time to read it, and
8	then I'll ask you questions on it.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Mr. Millan, I'd like to focus on the
11	assessment of job-related factors in Section 2. It's
12	actually on the first page. Just Section 2.
13	It states for job proficiency and knowledge,
14	you have "strong performance" checked. And it says,
15	"drawing on his experience, Carmelo was able to perform
16	multiple roles in the Lab Migration Project. He acted
17	as a project manager, infrastructure technician, data
18	center management, and network integration."
19	Would you agree with that statement?
20	A. To a certain extent, yeah.
21	Q. Moving to productivity efficiency, you got
22	strong performance in that category. And the
23	supporting comment is: "Along with being able to
24	consistently manage multiple tasks from eight different

		Page 110
1	engineerin	g disciplines, Carmelo was able to work on
2	the lab mi	gration project and at the same time train a
3	new resour	ce in the lab coordinator role."
4		Is that an accurate statement?
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	Was the person you were training, was that
7	Naseer?	
8	Α.	Correct.
9	Q.	Going to initiative, you received a strong
10	performanc	e in that. And it says, "Carmelo, without
11	being aske	d, will stay late and come in on weekends to
12	make sure	that the job gets done."
13		Do you see that?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	So no one asked you to do that.
16		You just did that on your own?
17	Α.	No. That's inaccurate.
18		It was implied that if the job didn't get
19	done, obvi	ously it would be an issue, right.
20	Q.	You said it was implied?
21	Α.	Yes.
22	Q.	But not expressed.
23		This says, "Carmelo, without being asked."
24		Did anyone ask
l		

:	
1	Page 11: A. I think the wording on that is misleading.
2	Really, I mean, if something needed to get done, we
3	would discuss how it was going to get done, right.
4	And if there wasn't sufficient time to do it,
5	we would like obviously come in and do it, right.
6	Q. So this is an inaccurate statement that
7	someone is commending you for your initiative, and you
8	don't believe that it's accurate?
9	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
10	THE WITNESS: No.
11	What I'm stating is that we were
12	understaffed, things needed to be done, right.
13	It was understood that if certain things
14	didn't get done, obviously there would be an
15	issue. It's like with anybody.
16	You're given a job deliverable, right,
17	and it's the expectation that you're going to try
18	your best to meet it, right.
19	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
20	Q. Right. You act like a professional and you
21	make sure it gets done?
22	A. Yeah.
23	So you're assuming, right, that I'm not being
24	asked. I mean, obviously, I am being asked because the

	Page 112
1	fact of the matter is, all right, this needs to get
2	done, we have X amount of hours, what are you going to
3	do about it, right?
4	So I am being asked indirectly, no.
5	Q. Because you're taking responsibility for the
6	work that your doing?
7	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
8	THE WITNESS: No. Performing the
9	work I need to perform, right, to keep my job.
10	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
11	Q. All right.
12	A. The review wouldn't look this good if I had
13	sat there, right, and said, oh, you know what? We need
14	four more people, you know.
15	Carmelo would have been fired. Axed. I have
16	a family to support, right.
17	Q. So you agree that this is a positive review?
18	A. I don't necessarily agree with everything
19	that's written in here and the character that you're
20	putting it in, but, yeah, it is a positive review.
21	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
22	off the record.)
23	(Whereupon, Exhibit Millan-8 was
24	marked for identification.)

Page 113

- 1 BY MS. BOUCHARD:
- Q. Mr. Millan, what's been placed before you is
- 3 a year-end assessment for 2006. Why don't you take
- 4 some time to review that.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Mr. Millan, do you agree with me that there's
- 7 a change in how your manager perceived your performance
- 8 from 2005 to 2006?
- 9 MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
- 10 THE WITNESS: That's what the
- 11 review indicates.
- 12 BY MS. BOUCHARD:
- 13 Q. If you turn to page 8 of 10, your comments
- 14 where it says, "I have tried my best to persevere in
- 15 the face of a challenging situation. I consistently
- 16 thought of the organization's well-being when making
- 17 business decisions."
- Was that accurate?
- 19 A. As far as prioritizing my workload was
- 20 concerned -- essentially this entire review, right,
- 21 reflects the fact that things started falling behind in
- 22 the lab, right, my primary responsibilities, which were
- 23 taking care of the lab.
- So if you look at it in that light, what you

Page 114

- 1 realize is that --
- Q. I was just asking if this statement that you
- 3 yourself made was accurate.
- 4 A. As far as performing installations was
- 5 correct, yes.
- 6 Q. Where does it say with respect to performing
- 7 installations?
- 8 A. I mean, it was obvious. The whole review is
- 9 centric around that issue.
- 10 The reason I got a bad review, right, is
- 11 because at this point they needed to manage my
- 12 responsibilities down to the task level that's written
- 13 in there somewhere, all right.
- And down to the task level meant down to my
- 15 day-to-day activities with installing devices and
- 16 making sure that people -- they get connected and
- 17 people get their work done, so on and so forth, right.
- 18 If you look at all the other activities that
- 19 are listed on here that I'm receiving a bad review
- 20 because of, it's because I haven't completed any of
- 21 them because of the amount of workload that I have from
- 22 installing servers and tracking equipment and plugging
- 23 them into the network is so excessive, right, that I
- 24 can't complete any of other things.

	Page 115
1	So now, basically, the entire situation is
2	hitting the fan, right, and I'm receiving a bad review.
3	Q. In the manager comments, it says: "Since the
4	midyear review, Carmelo was issued a formal written
5	warning for his attendance. Carmelo's poor attendance
6	showed lack of responsibility on his part and
7	ultimately affected the productivity of the group."
8	Do you see that?
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you think that if you had shown up to work
11	on time and left at the correct time, that that might
12	have helped your workload?
13	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
14	THE WITNESS: No. The workload had
15	been excessive for an extremely long period of
16	time up until now, right.
17	So it
18	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
19	Q. But when you leave early and you come in
20	late, that further creates workload problems, correct?
21	MS. WALSH: Objection.
22	Argumentative.
23	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
24	Q. You would agree?

1	A. Again, as far as
2	Q. It's a question.
3	A. What was the question?
4	Q. Well, if you come in late and you leave
5	early, that's going to further cause workload problems
6	for you, isn't it?
7	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
8	THE WITNESS: I mean, we had the
9	ability to work remotely, so on and so forth. So
10	it wouldn't necessarily be indicative of the
11	hours that you were spending in the office,
12	right.
13	Like there was a lot of data entry work
14	involved with what we were doing, right. So it
15	wasn't necessarily indicative of the amount of
16	time that you were spending working, right.
17	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
18	Q. Did you tell your supervisors that you were
19	working from home and that you shouldn't get the
20	performance review?
21	A. I couldn't correlate the days, right, to the
22	time I received the review.
23	At that point in time, to be quite honest,
24	when I had received the write-up from him, all right,

	Page 117
1	I don't know where to turn. I don't know what to do,
2	essentially, right. I was overwhelmed by the
3	situation.
4	So really, as far as I was concerned, I was
5	like, all right, you're going to be letting go of the
6	consultants, you know. Like this situation is
7	obviously spiraling out of control.
8	We've had this conversation on and off,
9	right, about this place needing to be staffed better,
10	right, for us to perform what it is you want us to
11	perform down here, right.
12	Like, I was up in arms about it. So I didn't
13	really give it much thought.
14	Q. In the manager comments, it says: "The
15	semi-annual lab access review had not been completed to
16	date, and as such puts us at audit risk for not
17	following our PCMs."
18	Do you see that?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. At least your manager thought that that was
21	your responsibility; isn't that correct?
22	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
23	THE WITNESS: Again, at this point
24	in time I was asking him for a written job

	Page 118
1	description.
2	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
3	Q. I'm asking, your manager at least perceived
4	it as your responsibility given that it's in the
5	manager comments?
6	A. They had given me the responsibility.
7	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
8	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
9	Q. Okay. They had given you the responsibility.
10	The next comment is, "Carmelo did not keep
11	the lab inventory current during the lab migrations
12	until requested by his management team. And as such
13	has put us at risk with 'Lab Insurance Questionnaire'
14	and capacity planning capability for the lab."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. So that was your responsibility as well?
18	MS. WALSH: Objection.
19	THE WITNESS: These were
20	responsibilities that were being forced on me.
21	And I couldn't push back on them because they
22	kept refusing to give me a job description,
23	right.
24	So you can make anything my

	Page 119
1	responsibility that just comes up and needs to
2	get done. You can make it my responsibility, but
3	that doesn't mean it's my job, right.
4	If you're not giving me a job
5	description, how can you I mean, pretty much
6	the scenario could come up where, you know, a
7	totally new responsibility comes up and all of a
8	sudden, all right, let's just give it to Carmelo,
9	right, like he's the only guy supporting the lab.
10	Obviously, I was the support person,
11	right. So all this support-related stuff came to
12	me.
13	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
14	Q. So you disagreed that all of this should be
15	your responsibility, but your managers were giving it
16	to you anyway?
17	MS. WALSH: Objection to the form.
18	THE WITNESS: Essentially, that's
19	true. That's what happened.
20	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
21	off the record.)
22	(Whereupon, Exhibit Millan-9 was
23	marked for identification.)
24	BY MS. BOUCHARD:

		Page 120
1	Q.	What's been marked as Exhibit 9 is a pay
2	period re	ecord from the last pay period in 2006. And it
3	reflects	that your total wages to date were \$74,448.58.
4		Do you see that?
5		That's at the Total column.
6	Α.	Yeah.
7	Q.	It states your employer it's in sort of
8	the middl	Le piece of the page.
9		Do you see that?
10	Α.	Yeah.
11	Q.	It says, Citigroup Technology, Inc.?
12	Α.	Yeah.
13	Q.	Your regular wages were about \$2,500 per
14	week; is	that correct?
15	A.	Yeah.
16	Q.	Yes?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Or that might be pay period, I'm sorry, since
19	it's a tw	vo-week period.
20		I didn't mean to misrepresent that.
21	Α.	It was bi-monthly.
22	Q.	Bi-monthly, okay.
23		(Whereupon, a discussion was held
24	off	the record.)

1	Page 121 (Whereupon, Exhibit Millan-10 was
2	marked for identification.)
3	MS. WALSH: Were you asking him if
4	the figure reflected on the page was accurate, or
5	the question was whether his we might just
6	want to clarify that
7	MS. BOUCHARD: Sure.
8	MS. WALSH: because you asked
9	him whether his weekly
10	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
11	Q. Going back to Exhibit 9, you received a
12	salary, correct?
13	A. Yeah.
14	Q. It was a bi-monthly salary of about \$2,500?
15	A. Yes.
16	(Whereupon, a discussion was held
17	off the record.)
18	BY MS. BOUCHARD:
19	Q. Mr. Millan, this is a pay record from the
20	last pay period in 2001, okay.
21	So this reflects your earnings through the
22	year in 2001, if you agree. And it says earnings were
23	\$45,881?
24	Do you see that in the bottom

		•
1	Α.	The bottom left, yeah.
2	Q.	Does that sound about right?
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	It has a pay rate.
5		But did you receive a salary on a
6	semi-mon	thly basis?
7	А.	Bi-monthly.
8	Q.	Bi-monthly?
9	Α.	The entire time, yeah.
10	Q.	Okay. Thanks.
11		When you were at CTI, did you input your time
12	at any ti	ime?
13	Α.	Yes, weekly monthly basis.
14	Q.	Why was that done?
15	Α.	To track against the projects we were putting
16	in time a	against.
17	Q.	So
18	Α.	To book our time as well.
19	Q.	that would be a way to determine how much
20	time a pa	articular project took?
21	Α.	Yeah.
22	Q.	Would you record your time in all cases, or
23	was it on	aly for certain projects?
24	Α.	No, we were well, there was different

		CARMELO MILLAN
-		Page 123
1	buckets	that were created by the managers and we were
2	expected	to put forth our efforts supporting it.
3	Q.	What if there wasn't a bucket for something,
4	but you	were still doing work?
5		What would you do at that time?
6	Α.	There wasn't, for the most part.
7	Q.	There were buckets for most everything?
8	Α.	Yeah, I mean well, if there wasn't a
9	bucket,	we would ask what bucket to use. We would be
10	instructe	ed to put it towards this deliverable or
11	whatever	the case may be.
L2	Q.	How did you input your time?
L 3	Α.	Via TRS.
14	Q.	Is that a computer program?
L5	Α.	Yeah.
L6	Q.	Once you inputted your time, where did it go?
L7	Α.	That, I'm not sure about.
L8	Q.	Did you send it to your manager?
9	Α.	Yeah, they were aware of it.
20	Q.	No. I'm saying, did you send an e-mail to
21	your mana	ager with the amount of time, or did you press
22	a button	and it goes somewhere else in the system?

if you didn't do it, they would notify you.

23

24

I would assume that it went to them. Because

Page 124

- 1 Q. But do you know if they reviewed it, or
- 2 whether they just got a notifications that you didn't
- 3 do it?
- 4 A. That I'm not sure about.
- 5 Q. Was there any month for any reason that you
- 6 would have recorded time as zero?
- 7 A. Recorded time?
- 8 Well, if you missed it and for some reason
- 9 your manager wasn't notified or failed to notify you.
- 10 Q. Is there any reason why you would miss an
- 11 entire month of time if you were in fact working?
- 12 A. Other than the scenario that I was
- 13 mentioning, I mean, where you might have missed it and
- 14 they missed it as well.
- 15 O. For a whole month?
- Do you ever recall missing time for a whole
- 17 month where you were actually working?
- 18 A. Possibly, at one time around the migrations.
- 19 Q. Why is that?
- 20 A. Because there was so much going on.
- 21 Q. Do you recall what months those were?
- 22 A. No, I wouldn't be able to tell you off the
- 23 top of my head.
- 24 Q. Was there any reason in 2004, why you didn't

MS. WALSH: Okay.

24